Use of disinfectants in the health care sector: Chemical hazards and preventive measures

Factsheet 4: Selecting safe disinfectants

Foreword

The Chemical Risks workgroup of the Health Services Section of the International Social Security Association (ISSA) has studied the risks linked to disinfection activities in the health care sector and the preventive measures that should be applied. This workgroup has defined a position shared by all the occupational health and safety organisations represented within the group: BGW (Germany), INRS (France) and Suva (Switzerland).

This project included a collaboration with the Infectious Risks workgroup of the Section, to summarise the general principles of disinfection (Factsheet 1) for the audience targeted by the current series (see below).

For practical reasons, the results of this work will be presented as a series of technical Factsheets:

Factsheet 1: Principles of disinfection

Factsheet 2: General principles of prevention

Factsheet 3: Hazards of chemical disinfectants

Factsheet 4: Selecting safe disinfectants

Factsheet 5: Surface disinfection

Factsheet 6: Instrument disinfection

Factsheet 7: Skin and hand disinfection

Factsheet 8: Specific procedures (disinfecting premises, medical equipment, linen and clothing)

Each factsheet contains the essential information relating to the theme covered, and can therefore be read separately. These factsheets are destined for use by those responsible for organising and performing disinfection tasks in the health care sector, by occupational physicians and by all those involved in preventing occupational risks – in particular occupational hygienists and safety officers – as well as interested personnel and their representatives.

For questions on hospital hygiene and environmental protection, the reader is invited to consult the specialised literature.

1. Introduction

A good disinfectant should not only provide the necessary efficacy at an attractive price, but should also be risk-free for those using it. As part of the regulations relating to risk assessment, the employer must identify the risks associated with an activity and the products used. On the basis of this information, they should determine the appropriate preventive measures [1]. To analyse whether substitution is possible, the decider must be aware of the products available on the market, from which the most appropriate will be chosen. However, in many countries, hundreds of disinfectants are available, and for the general user, it is practically impossible to have an overview of all the products available on the market [2].

In the present factsheet we will present a method to help select a satisfactory disinfectant (from the point of view of occupational risk prevention). This method meets the requirements of European law relating to dangerous products, which requires any risk to workers to be limited as far as possible. It is based on freely-accessible data, such as the labelling and classification of products, the material safety datasheets and similar product information.

Potential product substitution is examined based solely on these data, even if some of the working disinfectant solutions are not required to carry the same labels as their concentrated counterpart.

When choosing a disinfectant, it is important to consider that vapour, aerosols etc. may be emitted not only due to the product characteristics but also to the way it is used.

2. Designing an assessment scheme to identify "safe" disinfectants

The approach is based on how disinfection products are labelled, which includes:

- one or more hazard symbols and
- risk phrases (R phrases)

A chemical product which does not present any

dangerous properties, or which presents only a low level of danger, will not be labelled with a hazard symbol. The more dangerous properties a product has, the more hazard symbols or R phrases it will carry. In addition, there is a grading system for dangerous properties: corrosive products are more dangerous than irritants, and toxic products are more dangerous than harmful products. We can therefore establish a hazard level to which products can be assigned based on the hazard symbols present on their labels (Table 2).

2.1 Assessment based on pre-existing labelling and classification rules

As preparations, disinfectants are currently almost exclusively labelled according to the classification and labelling system defined by the "old" European classification and labelling directives [3,4,5] (Table 1).

Table 1: Main labelling elements for hazardous substances found on disinfectant labels (valid until June 2015)

Hazard	symb	ols		Hazard symbo	ols			
Pictogr	am	Indication of danger	Meaning	Pictogram	Indication of danger	Meaning		
Xn		Xn	Harmful	₩	F+	Extremely flamma- ble		
Xi		Xi	Irritant		Т	Toxic		
C		С	Corrosive	The state of the s	T+	Very toxic		
°		0	Oxidising	N	N	Dangerous for the environment		
M		F	Highly flam- mable		Е	Explosive		
Risk ph	rases	- R phrases		Safety advice phrases - S phrases				
are num	bered ts, the	following phr	risks. They dised. For dis- ases are com-	measures to b	e applied. Lik	ng to the safety e R phrases, they ised; examples:		
R12:	Extre	mely flammat	ole	\$37: Wear sui	table gloves			
R34:	Cause	es burns		S39: Wear eye	e/face protect	ion		
R38:	Irritati	ng to skin		S51: Use only in well-ventilated areas				
R40:	Suspe	ected carcino	gen					
R41:	R41: Risk of serious damage to eyes							
R42: May cause sensitisation by inhalation								
R43:	R43: May cause sensitisation by skin contact							
R42/43 :		cause sensitis ation and skin						

This system will remain valid until June 2015, which marks the end of the transition period for chemical products, and thus for disinfectants. Ta-

ble 2 is therefore based on the information available to date on the hazards linked to disinfectants.

Table 2: Determining hazard levels for disinfectants based on hazard symbols present on labels

Determining th	ne hazard level
Hazard level	Hazard symbols
Α	None
В	Xi; Xn; F; N
С	C; F+; Xi and F; Xi and F+; Xi and N Xi and O; Xi and Xn Xn and F; Xn and N
D	C and N; C and O; C and O and N T; T and N; Xi and C; Xi and C and O Xi and O and N; Xn and C

Level A (lowest hazard level) includes disinfectants for which the label carries no hazard symbols. Level B corresponds to products carrying only one of the hazard symbols Xi, Xn, F or N. If, instead of symbol Xi (irritant), symbol C (corrosive) is present, the product will be classed in level C; this is also the case if F+ (extremely flammable) replaces F (highly flammable), or if two hazards are indicated: Xi and F or F+, for example, or Xi and Xn, or Xi and O (oxidising). Level D groups together all the other symbol combinations, e.g. symbols C and N (dangerous for the environment), or Xi and O and N.

The combinations of hazard symbols mentioned for levels C and D are based on a market study performed in Germany. In other countries, products may carry combinations other than those described here.

Another particularly important criterion for classification is the presence of some R phrases indicating particularly severe risks, such as:

- R40 Suspected carcinogen
- R41 Risk of serious damage to the eyes

- R42 May cause sensitisation by inhalation
- R43 May cause sensitisation by skin contact
- R42/43 May cause sensitisation by inhalation and skin contact

Phrase R40 is often found on products containing formaldehyde, and phrase R41 on alcohol-based disinfectants where 1-propanol is the active substance. Phrases R42 and R43 can indicate the presence of aldehydes in particular.

None of the currently available products carry warnings of even more worrying properties, such as R45 (may cause cancer), R46 (may cause heritable genetic damage), etc. However, vigilance is recommended, and all R phrases indicating risks linked to the use of products should be duly noted.

The choice of disinfectants can be refined by examining both the hazard levels described above and the presence of specific R phrases. Thus, we define a system of hazard levels from A to D, "with/without R4x" (where R4x refers to one of the phrases between R40 and R43). Some examples of how this can be used for various disinfection applications will be presented below.

Only disinfectants of similar efficacy can be compared. It is therefore important to consider the active substance or group of active substances contained in any disinfectant. Thus, aldehydes do not present the same risks as alcohols or peroxides, but they also have distinct effects on microorganisms. When looking for a substitute product, as far as possible, it is important to compare products with the same action spectrum (as stated in point 3.1). When different groups of active substances can be used, those presenting the fewest hazards should be chosen. Similarly, within a group, the active ingredient presenting the fewest hazards will be selected.

2.2 Assessment of disinfectants from June 2015

From June 2015 all disinfectants must be classed and labelled according to the CLP regulations [6]. Like the current regulations, these regulations are also standardised and in some cases allow more precise differentiation between products. It will thus be possible to identify compounds which are corrosive for metals, or toxic for specific organs. It must be noted that the threshold - generally concentration limits for the substances in the product from which a mixture will be classed as harmful, irritant or corrosive, toxic or highly toxic is not a simple transposition from the old to the new system. As of today, it is therefore not possible to say what hazard symbols and classifications will be used by manufacturers for each type of disinfectant.

Thus, until 2015 it will not be possible to establish a "new" precise systematic approach assessing the risks associated with using disinfectants.

3. Comparative study of a group of disinfectants

For a number of years in Germany, VAH (Verbund

für angewandte Hygiene, Association for applied hygiene) has assessed and published a list of disinfectants. This list, which is limited to procedures involving disinfection of surfaces, instruments, hands/skin and linen and clothing, is regularly updated [7]. It is a list of products which have been tested according to the standardised methods developed by the DGHM (Deutsche Gesellschaft für Hygiene und Mikrobiologie, German society for hygiene and microbiology). This data has been used, along with information relating to product composition, labelling and classification. In 2010, a total of 795 datasets were gathered relating to 478 surface disinfection products, 136 skin and hand disinfection products, and 182 instrument disinfection products. Table 3 presents an overview of the hazard symbols used for these products in line with the current European regulations relating to hazardous substances, this is a first indication of the hazards linked to these products.

Table 3: Hazard symbols present on the disinfectants for which the data has been exploited

		Hazard symbols								
	Number	Xi	Xn	С	0	N	F	F+	none	
Group of products	of products	Irritant	Harm- ful	Corro- sive	Oxi- dising	Dange- rous for the environ- ment	Highly flam- mable	Ex- tremely flam- mable		
Surface disinfection	478	192	18	131	5	60	21	1	124	
Hand/skin disinfection	136	67	0	1	1	1	31	1	48	
Instrument disinfection	182	41	22	96	0	32	2	0	23	

From this data it becomes obvious that the majority of disinfectants carry one or more hazard symbols, although none are labelled toxic or highly toxic. 35.5% of skin and hand disinfection products carry no symbol, 67 are classed as irritants and 32 are highly or extremely flammable. This labelling/classification differs significantly from that found on products for surface and instrument disinfection. To assess the true risks, however, it is important to consider how the products are used: skin and hand disinfection involves direct application of an undiluted product to the skin, while surface and instrument disinfection, in most cases, involves (ready-to-use) diluted solutions, and personal pro-

tective measures (such as gloves) can be used.

The data can be used to analyse the products by risk phrase (R phrase). Beyond the risk of fire and the environmental risks, it is important to consider irreversible risks, for example those linked to skin and respiratory pathway sensitisation (R42, R43, R42/43) or to suspected carcinogenic properties (R40). **Table 4** indicates the distribution of products based on the risk phrases they carry. Once again, the surface and instrument disinfection products include the most sensitising products: 12.9% for surfaces and 24.1% for instruments, against 0% for skin and hand disinfectants.

Table 4: Product distribution based on risk phrases

Outside of must directe	November of muchosts	R phrases					
Group of products	Number of products	R40	R42	R43	R42/43		
Surface disinfection	478	17	5	24	33		
Hand/skin disinfection	136	0	0	0	0		
Instrument disinfection	182	10	7	9	28		

3.1 Surface disinfectants

The data available for the 478 surface disinfectants, when analysed according to the criteria described in section 2, provided the following results for the hazard levels and groups of active substances (tables 5 to 9):

Table 5: Surface disinfectants: distribution by hazard levels

Hazard level	Total number	Number without R4x	Number with R4x
Α	124	124	0
В	195	81	114
С	114	66	48
D	45	32	13
Total	478	303	175

Among the surface disinfectants available on the market, examples in each of the four hazard levels from A to D are found. None of the 124 products ranked at hazard level A are labelled with an R phrase from R 40 to R 43. In contrast, these phrases are commonly encountered at hazard level B and above.

Classing the data according to the main groups of active substances present in surface disinfectants (**Table 6**) gives a more differentiated picture. Thus, no product in the "aldehydes/aldehyde releasers" group is classed as level A, and all the products in the large group containing these active substances are labelled with an R4x phrase. In contrast, the products containing "alcohols" as their active ingredient are mainly classed in levels A and B, and two thirds carry no R4x phrase.

Table 6: Surface disinfectants: groups of active substances and hazard levels (*) Indications on the R phrases can be found in subsequent tables

	Total number	Number without R4x	Number with R4x	Hazard level A	Hazard level B	Hazard level C	Hazard level D
Aldehydes/ aldehyde releasers	29	0	29	0	10*	15*	4*
Alcohols	154	103	51	78	68*	8*	0
Alkylamines	22	14	8	0	7*	8*	7*
Amphoteric surfactants	1	1	0	0	0	1	0
Chloride releasers / chloramides	7	2	5	0	1	6*	0
Glycols and derivatives	2	2	0	0	0	2	0
Peroxides	22	19	3	7	7*	4	4
Phenol and deriva- tives	3	1	2	0	2*	1	0
Quaternary ammo- nium compounds	233	157	76	39	95*	69*	30*
Acids	5	4	1	0	5*	0	0
Total	478	303	175	124	195	114	45

Tables 7 to 9 show the distribution of R4x phrases for the groups of active substances for each hazard level.

Table 7: Surface disinfectants: hazard level B: phrases R40 to R43

	Number	R40	R41	R42	R43	R42/43
Aldehydes / aldehyde releasers	10	5	8	0	2	8
Alcohols	68	0	46	0	1	0
Alkylamines	7	0	6	0	0	0
Peroxides	7	0	3	0	0	0
Phenol and derivatives	2	0	1	0	1	0
Quaternary ammonium compounds	95	0	44	0	2	0
Acids	5	0	1	0	0	0

Table 8: Surface disinfectants: hazard level C: phrases R40 to R43

	Number	R40	R41	R42	R43	R42/43
Aldehydes/ aldehyde releasers	15	7	1	0	0	15
Alcohols	8	0	4	0	0	0
Alkylamines	8	1	0	0	0	0
Chloride releasers/chloramides	6	0	0	5	0	0
Quaternary ammonium compounds	69	1	10	0	9	6

Table 9: Surface disinfectants: hazard level D: phrases R40 to R43

	Number	R40	R41	R42	R43	R42/43
Aldehydes/aldehyde releasers	4	2	0	0	0	4
Alkylamines	7	0	0	0	1	0
Quaternary ammonium compounds	30	0	0	0	8	0

3.2 Instrument disinfectants

The data for the 182 instrument disinfectants were exploited according to the same criteria as for sur-

face disinfectants (section 3.1). The results are presented in **tables 10 to 15**.

 Table 10: Instrument disinfectants: distribution by hazard levels

Hazard level	Total number	Number without R4x	Number with R4x
Α	23	22	1
В	55	24	31
С	78	52	26
D	26	22	4
Total	182	120	62

Table 11: Instrument disinfectants: groups of active substances and hazard levels (*) Indications on the R phrases can be found in subsequent tables

	Total number	Number without R4x	Number with R4x	Hazard level A	Hazard level B	Hazard level C	Hazard level D
Aldehydes/ aldehyde releasers	26	1	25	0	12*	11*	3*
Alcohols	16	11	5	1*	9*	6*	0
Alkylamines	48	41	7	6	6*	17*	19*
Glycols and derivatives	3	3	0	0	0	3	0
Guanidines/Biguanides	3	1	2	1	0	2*	0
Bases	8	8	0	1	1	6	0
Peroxides	9	8	1	0	6*	2	1
Phenol and derivatives	5	0	5	0	1*	4*	0
Quaternary ammonium compounds	64	48	16	14	20*	27*	3
Total	182	121	61	23	55	78	26

Tables 12 to 15 show the distribution of R4x phrases by group of active substances for the different hazard levels.

Table 12: Instrument disinfectants: hazard level A: phrases R40 to R43

	Number	R40	R41	R42	R43	R42/43
Alcohols	1	0	1	0	0	1

Table 13: Instrument disinfectants: hazard level B: phrases R40 to R43

	Number	R40	R41	R42	R43	R42/43
Aldehydes/aldehyde re- leasers	12	6	8	0	1	10
Alcohols	9	0	3	0	0	1
Alkylamines	6	0	4	0	0	0
Peroxides	6	0	1	0	0	0
Phenol and derivatives	1	0		0	1	0
Quaternary ammonium compounds	20	0	11	0	0	1

Table 14: Instrument disinfectants: hazard level C: phrases R40 to R43

	Number	R40	R41	R42	R43	R42/43
Aldehydes/aldehyde re- leasers	11	2	2	0	0	11
Alcohols	6	0	0	0	1	0
Alkylamines	17	0	0	2	0	0
Guanidines/ Biguanides	2	0	1	1	0	0
Phenol and derivatives	4	0	2	0	4	0
Quaternary ammonium compounds	27	0	0	4	1	1

Table 15: Instrument disinfectants: hazard level D: phrases R40 to R43

	Number	R40	R41	R42	R43	R42/43
Aldehydes/aldehyde re- leasers	3	2	0	0	0	3
Alkylamines	19	0	0	0	1	0

3.3 Skin and hand disinfectants

Tables 16 to 19 summarise the results obtained by exploiting the data for the 135 skin/hand disinfect-

ants for which a material safety data sheet was available (datasheet not available for one product).

 Table 16:
 Skin and hand disinfection: distribution by hazard levels

Hazard level	Total number	Number without R4x	Number with R4x
Α	47	45	2
В	74	57	17
С	13	13	0
D	1	1	0
Total	135	116	19

Table 17: Skin and hand disinfection: groups of active substances and hazard levels

(*) Indications on the R phrases can be found in subsequent tables

	Total number	Number without R4x	Number with R4x	Hazard level A	Hazard level B	Hazard level C	Hazard level D
Alcohols	129	110	19	42*	74*	13	0
lodine-releasers	4	4	0	4	0	0	0
Peroxides	1	1	0	0	0	0	1
Phenol and de- rivatives	1	1	0	1	0	0	0
Total	135	116	19	47	74	13	1

Table 18: Skin and hand disinfection: hazard level A: phrases R40 to R43

	Number	R40	R41	R42	R43	R42/43
Alcohols	42	0	2	0	0	0

Table 19: Skin and hand disinfection: hazard level B: phrases R40 to R43

	Number	R40	R41	R42	R43	R42/43
Alcohols	74	0	17	0	0	0

4. Example of application

The data on the hazards and dangerous properties of disinfectant products can be used to establish the hazard profile of the products available on the German market for a given disinfection task, grouped based on their active substances. Thus, for the group of disinfectants with quaternary ammonium as their active ingredient, of 64 products, 14 are classed at hazard level A, 20 are level B, 27 are level C and 3 are level D.

Disinfectant X, in the quaternary ammonium group, can thus have a hazard level from A to D, with or without R phrases (additional R4x indication). If disinfectant X is classed at level C for example, it can be compared to other disinfectants for instruments. If the latter have a higher hazard level (e.g. level D), product X is considered safer (if this is the only point of comparison). However, if we consider the hazard spectrum for all the products available on the market, the approach leads to the conclusion that a disinfectant classed as level C is not optimal, as there are 14 similar products at hazard level A, and 20 at level B, which could be substituted for product X.

5. Conclusion

This inventory of disinfectants and the risks associated with their use provides a profile of safety requirements for product selection:

- Hygienists' requirements should be taken into account when choosing between disinfectants. For example, if a peroxide-based disinfectant for instruments must be used, the safety requirement may be formulated as follows: the ideal product should be ranked at hazard level B and, if possible, not be subject to any obligatory labelling with phrases R40 to R43.
- Occupational health professionals can thus decide the minimal requirements to which the disinfectant must conform based on the hazard profile. This can be used to justify

product selection to a hospital or institution purchasing centre.

In addition to applications for product purchasing, this assessment scheme is a conceptual model for occupational safety training, as the regulatory classification and labelling of dangerous products can be used to present the potential problems with the different product groups in a structured format.

The assessment scheme can be applied to all surface, instrument and skin/hand disinfection products, and the user only requires access to the freely-available product data. Thus, the system depends on the quality of the information available relating to product properties. The indications provided by the manufacturer or the importer must therefore be reliable.

When applying this assessment scheme, it must be remembered that the hazard spectrum for the products available for a given disinfection task is in constant, slow evolution. Information must therefore be constantly updated to adapt the approach to the up-to-date market information.

In addition, changes to the European regulations relating to labelling of chemical products are scheduled. The CLP regulation [6] will require the implementation of a new labelling system from mid-2015 at the latest, when R phrases will be replaced by hazard phrases. In 2015, it will therefore be necessary to entirely review the assessment scheme presented here.

References

- [1] Council Directive 89/391/EEC of 12 June 1989 on the introduction of measures to encourage improvements in the safety and health of workers at work.
- [2] Eickmann U, Knauff-Eickmann R, Seitz, M: Desinfektionsmittel im Gesundheitsdienst Stand 2010;
 Gefahrstoffe Reinhaltung der Luft 2011;71
 (9):pp.393 396
- [3] COUNCIL DIRECTIVE 98/24/EC of 7 April 1998 on the protection of the health and safety of workers

- from the risks related to chemical agents at work (fourteenth individual Directive within the meaning of Article 16(1) of Directive 89/391/EEC).
- [4] Council Directive 67/548/EEC of 27 June 1967 on the approximation of laws, regulations and administrative provisions relating to the classification, packaging and labelling of dangerous substances.
- [5] Directive 1999/45/EC of the European Parliament and of the Council of 31 May 1999 concerning the approximation of the laws, regulations and administrative provisions of the Member States relating to the classification, packaging and labelling of dangerous preparations.
- [6] Regulation (EC) No 1272/2008 of the European Parliament and of the Council of 16 December 2008 on classification, labelling and packaging of substances and mixtures, amending and repealing Directives 67/548/EEC and 1999/45/EC, and amending Regulation (EC) No 1907/2006.
- [7] VAH (Verbund für angewandte Hygiene, Association for applied hygiene): List of Disinfectants, http://www.vah-online.de/index.php?page=list-of-disinfectants-print.

Use of disinfectants in the health care sector: Chemical hazards and preventive measures

Factsheet 4: Selecting safe disinfectants

12/2014

Authors

Prof. Dr.-Ing. Udo Eickmann Berufsgenossenschaft für Gesundheitsdienst und Wohlfahrtspflege (BGW), Hamburg (D)

Martine Bloch Institut national de recherche et de sécurité (INRS) Paris (F)

Dr. med. Michel Falcy Institut national de recherche et de sécurité (INRS) Paris (F)

Dr. rer. nat. Gabriele Halsen Berufsgenossenschaft für Gesundheitsdienst und Wohlfahrtspflege (BGW), Hamburg (D)

Dr. med. Brigitte Merz Schweizerische Unfallversicherungsanstalt (Suva), Lucerne (CH)

Published by

ISSA International Section on Prevention of Occupational Risks in Health Services Pappelallee 33/35/37 D 22089 Hamburg Germany

Publication number

ISBN 978-92-843-6194-6

Design

Susanne Stamer Berufsgenossenschaft für Gesundheitsdienst und Wohlfahrtspflege (BGW), Hamburg (D)







